

ORDER-SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA

Constt. Petition No. S- 1140 of 2017.

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
19.12.2017.	

1. For orders on office objections.
2. For hearing of main case.


Mr. Irshad Ali Solangi, Advocate for petitioners.  
Mr. Abdul Rasheed Soomro, State Counsel.

Through this petition, the petitioners allege harassment by private respondents through official respondents on account of their freewill marriage.

Pursuant to notice the respondents No. 7 to 9 file their statements, which are taken on record; copies whereof provided to counsel for the petitioners. In their statements, these respondents denied to have caused harassment to petitioners. These respondents have also mentioned in their statements that no any criminal case etc. is registered against any of the petitioners. They have however further stated that they are ready to provide protection to the petitioners as and when required by them.

Mr. Jai Jai Veshnu Manghe Ram, Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.10 (Shah Dost), the father of petitioner No.1, whereas Mr. Shahbaz Ali Brohi, Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.11. The counsel for respondents No.09 and 11 also file statements on behalf of these respondents denying to have caused harassment to petitioners. They have further added that the petitioner No.1 has been forcibly abducted by petitioner No.2.

On the request of counsel for respondent No.10 (the father of petitioner No.1), his meeting with allowed with petitioner No.1 for half an hour and thereafter the matter was again called and on query the petitioner No.1 categorically states that she has contracted marriage with petitioner No.1 on her own freewill and accord and she has never been abducted by

anybody and she is passing happy married life with her husband, the petitioner No.2 and that she wants to go and reside with her husband. 

In view of above, the instant petition stands disposed of with directions to official respondents to act and behave strictly in accordance with law and to see that no harassment is caused to the petitioners and provide them lawful protection.

At this juncture, the counsel for the petitioners submits that, many persons of private respondents have gathered outside the Court and there is serious apprehension to the lives of the petitioners; he therefore, prays that police protection may be provided to petitioners to take them to their destination. In these circumstances, the Additional Registrar is directed to arrange police protection to petitioners to take them to their home safely.

  
JUDGE

Ansari/\*