

ORDER-SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA

Constt. Petition No. S- 1148 of 2017.

Date of hearing

22.12.2017.

Order with signature of Judge

1. For orders on office objections.
2. For hearing of main case.

Mr. Muhammad Ismail Abro, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Abid Hussain Qadri, State Counsel.

~~~~~

Through instant petition the petitioner has alleged harassment by private respondents through official respondents.

Pursuant to notice the respondents No.1 to 3, as well as private respondents No.4 to 7 have filed their statements, which are taken on record; copies whereof provided to counsel for petitioner. In their statements, the respondents denied to have caused harassment to petitioner. The private respondents No. 4 to 6 have however further mentioned in their statement that the petitioner happened to be their uncle and that petitioner and his son namely, Naeem are trying to usurp their ancestral properties by way of putting illegal pressure upon them by filing frivolous applications including instant petition.

In view of above position, the instant petition stands disposed of with directions to official respondents to act and behave strictly in accordance with law and to see that no harassment is caused to either party. However, the dispute over property, if any, between the parties may be taken to the relevant forum in proper proceedings.

  
JUDGE