## HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

## C.P No.D-1526 of 2025

[Aftab Ali vs. Province of Sindh and Others]

## **BEFORE:**

JUSTICE ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON JUSTICE RIAZAT ALI SAHAR

Mr. Muhammad Sachal R. Awan, advocate for petitioner

Mr. Mumtaz Alam Leghari, advocate for respondent No.7

Mr. Muhammad Ismail Bhutto, Additional A.G. Sindh

Date of hearing & decision: 24.11.2025

## **ORDER**

**ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON J. -** The petitioner, through the captioned petition, has sought the following relief(s):-

- a) Direct the official respondents to take necessary action regarding non-compliance of official orders for joining the petitioner and releasing salary,
- b) Direct the respondent No.7 to allow the petitioner to join service as per the order of the office of Respondent No.4,
- c) Direct respondents 2 to 5 to release the salary of the petitioner without further delay,
- 2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner was appointed as Naib Qasid (BPS-01) by respondent No.2 on 19.03.2012 and posted at Union Council Patt. He started working without any complaints but later due to some dispute he had serious threats from Shahani community, hence he went into hiding and preventing him. Once the situation normalized, the petitioner approached respondent No.3, who referred him to respondent No.6 to join duty on 15.09.2024. However, respondent No.6 refused, stating that staff was sufficient vide letter dated 11.11.2024. Subsequently, respondent No.4 transferred the petitioner to respondent No.8 vide order dated 27.11.2024, but he was not allowed to join. Respondent No.4 then transferred him to respondent No.7 vide order dated 13.12.2024. Since then, the petitioner has been seeking redress without success, as his salary has been stuck.
- 3. Learned Additional A.G. submitted that as the petitioner is an employee of Union Council Patt/respondent No.6 who has their own

counsel and it is their responsibility to allow him to join. No one appeared for respondent No.6, while counsel for respondent No.7 adopted the A.G.'s arguments.

- 4. After examining the record, it is evident that the petitioner's grievance regarding non-allowing him to join duty and non-release of salary merits consideration. The Secretary, Local Government, is directed to personally look into the matter and, upon inquiry, if the appointment of the petitioner is found genuine, ensure that he is posted to his designated office and all his pending salaries are released. This exercise shall be undertaken within two months from the date of this order.
- 5. In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of in the stated terms.

**JUDGE** 

JUDGE

Karar\_Hussain/PS\*