

28

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA  
C. P. No.S-312 of 2023

Date of Hearing	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
--------------------	-------------------------------

21.12.2023.

1. For orders on office objection.
2. For hearing of main case.


Mr. Munhawar Ali Abbasi, Asst. A.G., along with ASI Muhammad Ishaq of PS Quaidabad, Karachi and Insp. Ishtiaque Ahmed Pathan, SHO PS Naudero, and SIP Ali dost on behalf of SSP, Larkan.

Mr. Khalil Ahmed Metlo, DPG.

-----

None present for the petitioners. Mr. Rafique Ahmed K. Abro advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.5 and 6, as well as copies of Nikahnama and Marriage Registration Certificate issued by NADRA in respect of earlier marriage of petitioner No.1, under the cover of his statement of today's date, taken on record.

Respondents No.1, 2 and 3 have filed their respective statement, which are taken on record. They have categorically denied to have caused any harassment to the petitioners and have undertaken to provide protection to the petitioners in accordance with law. Respondent No.2/SO PS Quaidabad has also submitted a copy of FIR No.633/2023 of PS Quaidabad lodged by Gohar Rehman on 24.11.2023, u/s 496A, PPC, which relates to the abduction of Mst. Hema Naz wife of said Gohar Rehman. Mr. Rafique Ahmed K. Abro advocate appearing on behalf of respondents No.5 and 6 submits that that petitioner No.1 Mst. Haniya is the same lady namely Hema Naz and is legally wedded wife of Gohar Rehman; however, said Gohar Rehman has not been made party in this petition. Mr. Abro submits that it is a case of marriage over marriage, therefore, instant petition may be dismissed.



Instant petition has been filed by petitioners Mst. Haniya and Niaz Ali seeking protection on the ground of having married with each other with the consent and free-will of petitioner No.1 Mst. Haniya and in this context the respondents have categorically denied to have caused any harassment to the petitioners, therefore, instant petition is disposed of in the terms that the official respondents shall provide protection to the petitioners, as and when they will approach them.

As far as contention of Mr. Rafique Ahmed K. Abro appearing for private respondents that it is a case of Nikah over Nikah, the private respondents <sup>are</sup> ~~are~~ liberty to avail the remedy prescribed under the law.

Instant petition is disposed of in above terms.

JUDGE