

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

SCRA 1205 of 2023
SCRA 1201 of 2023

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

- 1. For hearing of main case
- 2. For hearing of CMA No.2860/2023

10.12.2025

Mr. Khalid Mehmood Rajpar, advocate for the applicant

Per learned counsel, multiple appeals have been decided by a common impugned judgment; however, no independent discussion and/or deliberation is discernible therefrom. He states that the respondent had not joined the adjudication proceedings, ostensibly in an effort to have them rendered time barred; however, learned Tribunal has given the respondent benefit of such truancy.

Learned counsel states that service has been effected through publication and relevant newspaper has been placed on record.

Per learned counsel identical matters have already been determined by this Court in earlier orders including order dated 10.11.2025 passed in SCRA 1200 of 2023, which reads as follows :

“10.11.2025

Mr. Khalid Mehmood Rajper, advocate for the applicant.
Ms. Dilkhurram Shaheen, advocate for respondent

Learned counsel for the applicant contends that five appeals have been decided vide impugned judgment without any independent appreciation of respective facts and circumstances. Learned counsel adds the judgment has been rendered in a perfunctory manner by a single member and the same is not befitting the last fact-finding forum in the statutory hierarchy.

On being so confronted, learned counsel for the respondent remains unable to articulate any cavil to the aforesaid.

The Appellate Tribunal is the last fact-finding forum in the statutory hierarchy; therefore, it is incumbent upon it to render independent deliberations and findings on each issue. The manner in which the appeals in general are to be addressed has been emphasized by the Supreme Court in the judgment reported as 2019 SCMR 1726. This High Court has consistently maintained that the Appellate Tribunal is required to proffer independent reasons and findings, and in the absence thereof a perfunctory order could not be sustained. Reliance is placed on the

judgment dated 02.10.2024 in SCRA 1113 of 2023 and judgment dated 27.08.2024 in SCRA 757 of 2015. Earlier Division Bench judgments have also maintained that if the impugned order is discrepant in the manner as aforesaid, the correct course is to remand the matter for adjudication afresh. Reliance is placed on the judgment dated 10.12.2024 in ITRA 343 of 2024.

We are of the considered view that the impugned judgment could not be considered to be a speaking order and is *prima facie* devoid of any independent appreciation of respective facts and circumstances. The entire judgment is crowned with a dissonant conclusion. Hence, no case is set forth to sustain the impugned judgment, which is hereby *set aside* and the matter is remanded back to the Appellate Tribunal for adjudication afresh in accordance with law.

A copy of this decision may also be sent under the seal of this Court and signature of the Registrar to the learned Customs Appellate Tribunal, as required per section 196(5) of the Customs Act, 1969.

Learned counsel seeks that these reference applications may also be disposed of for the same reasons and upon the same terms. Order accordingly.

A copy of this decision may also be sent under the seal of this Court and signature of the Registrar to the learned Customs Appellate Tribunal, as required per section 196(5) of the Customs Act, 1969. Office is instructed to place copy of this order in connected matter.

Judge

Judge

Amjad