

59/64

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA**

**Cr. Bail Application No. S-520 of 2024**

Applicant: Ganjo @ Ganj Bakhsh, through Mr.  
Ashique Ali Jatol, Advocate

Complainant: None present.

The State: Through Mr. Ali Anwar Kandhro,  
Additional Prosecutor General, Sindh

Date of hearing: 15-01-2025  
Date of order: 15-01-2025

**ORDER**

**OMAR SIAL, J:-** Ganjo @ Ganj Bakhsh seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No.03 of 2024 registered under sections 324, 148, 149 PPC at Hamal Police Station.

2. The F.I.R. mentioned above was registered on 27.2.2024 on the information provided by Fida Hussain, who reported that he has ongoing dispute with Mumtaz alias Bhutto Chandio. On 26.7.2024 the complainant along-with some others had gone fishing and when he came back, he saw that five armed men in a boat arrived on the same all five were identified and one was identified as the applicant. As soon as they got off the boat Mumtaz fired at the complainant's cousin Nadeem Ali @ Ayaz who became injured, thereafter all the accused fled away.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned Additional Prosecutor General. The Complainant on the previous date had appeared and sought an adjournment to engage a Counsel however; none has affected appearance on his behalf. My observations and findings are as follows.

4. It appears from the record that the role of the present accused is confined to be present on the boat with Nadeem who is said to have fired and injured Nadeem Ali. Learned Additional Prosecutor General, Sindh has informed me that no recovery has

05

taken place and the applicant is attending trial court and has cooperated with the investigation. Whether the applicant shared a common intention with Nadeem Ali will have to be determined at the trial after the learned trial court has have an opportunity to evaluate the evidence recorded by the prosecution. At the moment it appears that the case against the applicant is one of further inquiry. Admittedly, there is an ongoing dispute between the complainant and Nadeem Ali therefore, at this preliminary stage throwing the net wide by the complainant cannot be conclusively eliminated.

5. Given the above, the interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicant earlier is confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

  
JUDGE

Ashfaq/-

Appi in O/o Matter

FW Order on MA No. 1633/85 (Sd/-A)

Accountant report as flag B<sup>2</sup>