

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
C. P. No. D-5011 of 2019

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

Priority.

1. For hearing of CMA No.82792/2019.
2. For hearing of CMA No.21885/2019.
3. For hearing of main case.

29.09.2025.

Mr. Ahmed Masood, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. Muhammad Arif, Advocate for the Respondent No.8.
Mr. K. A. Vaswani, Assistant Advocate General Sindh.

The Petition had been prompted due to issuance of a Letter dated 25.07.2019 by the Director of Settlements Survey and Land Records, Sindh, Hyderabad referring to an Application made by the Respondent No.8 alleging a dispute between him and the Petitioner in relation to certain land situated in Na-Class-90, Deh Surjani, Taluka Manghopir, Karachi, West. The aforementioned letter reads as follows:-

**“OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF SETTLEMENTS SURVEY
AND LAND RECORDS SINDH HYDERABAD.**

No.GM-1131 of 2019 Hyderabad dated 25.07.2019

OFFICE ORDER.

The applicant Ali Gohar S/o Pir Bux Brohi has submitted an application stating therein that the Government of Sindh has allotted him 4 acres of Land in Na-Class No.90 in the year 1992 Deh Surjani Mangho Pir Karachi West and has stated that the Survey Superintendent, Karachi's staff has made wrong survey in the name of other person on his land without notice and presence of the applicant on the land. He has therefore requested to cancel the Ghat Wadh Form No.14 deh Surjani Talaka Mangho Pir Karachi West issued by the Survey Superintendent. Karachi.

Since the Ghat Wadh Form was prepared in absence of the parties as per law the presence of parties is mandatory, therefore the Ghat Wadh Form No.14 Deh Surjani Taluka Manghopir Karachi West is hereby cancelled/sat-aside.

Further action may be taken may be taken after hearing both the parties and perusing their grant papers, if there is no legal hitch, litigation is pending in any court of law and are genuine, no any investigation by agency is underway.

The Government interest/land must be safeguarded at any cost by the Deputy Commissioner, Karachi West.

*DIRECTOR OF SETTLEMENTS
SURVEY AND LAND RECORDS
SINDH HYDERABAD.”*

As it transpires, during the course of the Petition, the controversy as between the Petitioner and the Respondent No.8 appears to have been resolved, with said Respondent and having recorded an Affidavit/No Objection through his Attorney, stating therein that the Respondent No.8 had compromised the matter with the Petitioner and withdrawn his claim over the land. That being so, the Petitioner and the Respondent No.8 may simply appear before the concerned functionary, who has issued the impugned letter for the purpose of recording of their understanding so that the matter may be closed accordingly. The Petition stands disposed of in the foregoing terms.

JUDGE

JUDGE

MUBASHIR