

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

(1) C.P. Nos.D-473 of 2025
Sharjeel Inam Memon
Versus
NAB & another

(2) C.P. Nos.D-482 of 2025
Syed Qaim Ali Shah
Versus
NAB & another

(3) C.P. Nos.D-501 of 2025
Muhammad Sohail
Versus
NAB & another

(4) C.P. Nos.D-502 of 2025
Agha Maqsood Abbas
Versus
NAB & another

(5) C.P. Nos.D-503 of 2025
Muhammad Jawed Hanif Khan
Versus
NAB & another

(6) C.P. Nos.D-504 of 2025
Kazi Jan Muhammad
Versus
NAB & another

(7) C.P. Nos.D-506 of 2025
Manzoor Qadir
Versus
NAB & another

(8) C.P. Nos.D-508 of 2025
Muhammad Siddiq Majid & others
Versus
NAB & another

(9) C.P. No.D-538 of 2025
Ziauddin Sabi & others
Versus
NAB & another

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

Dated: 23.09.2025

Mr. Raj Ali Wahid Kunwar, advocate for petitioner in C.P. No.D-473 of 2025.

Mr. Muhammad Salim Khaskheli, advocate for petitioner in C.P. No.D-482 of 2025.

Mr. Rehan Kiyani, advocate for petitioners in C.P. No.D-501 to 503 of 2025.

Mr. S. Muhammad Nabeel Mustafa, advocate for petitioner in C.P. No.D-504 of 2025.

Mr. Iftikhar Ahmed Shah, advocate for petitioner in C.P. No.D-506 of 2025.

M/s. Shoukat Hayat and S. Muhammad Abdul Kabir, advocate for petitioner in C.P. No.D-508 and 1172 of 2025.

Mr. Aamir Mansoor Qureshi, advocate for petitioner in C.P. No.D-538 of 2025.

Mr. Moazzam Ali, Special Prosecutor NAB.

Mr. Khaleeq Ahmed, Deputy Attorney General.

M/s Azhar Maqbbl Shah, DPGA HQ NAB and Sattar Muhammad Awan, DPGA NAB (K) along with Syed Manzoor Ali SP NAB (K).

These petitions have been filed for quashment of References filed against the petitioners. It is stated that in the trial the copies of References have been supplied to the petitioners and the case is fixed for framing of charge. It is a settled proposition that the trial Court has the powers under section 249-A and 265-K Cr.P.C., as the case may be, to decide whether or not there is any likelihood of accused being convicted not only on factual grounds but on legal grounds as well. Therefore, we are of the view that the petitioners have adequate remedy sought for here before the learned trial Court and in view of such fact these petitions are patently not maintainable.

We, therefore, dismiss all these petitions, however put the petitioners at liberty to file applications as above for the same relief, if so advised before the trial Court. The trial Court shall decide the same before framing of charge. The ad-interim bail already granted to the petitioners is converted into protective bail for 15 days subject to the surety already furnished.

Petitions are dismissed along with pending applications in the above terms.

Judge

Judge