IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS

Criminal Appeal No.S-193 of 2024

Appellant: Muhammad Ali S/o Sabhago,

Through Mr. Tarique Mehboob, Advocate.

Respondent: The State.

Through Mr. Ghulam Abbas Dalwani, D.P.G.

Date of Hearing: 30.09.2025.

Date of Order: 30.09.2025.

ORDER

Amjad Ali Sahito, J: Through this Criminal Appeal, the appellant has

challenged the judgment dated 11.07.2024 passed by the learned

Additional Sessions Judge-I/MCTC, Sanghar in Sessions Case

No.175/2022 "Re: The State Vs. Muhammad Ali", arising out of Crime

No.25/2022 for offence under section 24 of Sindh Arms Act, 2013 of PS

Sanghar whereby the appellant was convicted and sentenced to suffer R.I

for 05 years with fine of Rs.50,000/- with benefit of section 382-B Cr.P.C,

awarded by the learned trial court.

2. The learned counsel after arguing at some length; prayed that he

would not like to argue on merits but would be satisfied if the sentence

given to the appellant was reduced to the time he had already remained

in prison.

3. On the other hand, learned Deputy Prosecutor General Sindh

concedes that the appellant has remained behind the bars for sufficient

period and learnt the lesson, therefore, he has no objection if a lenient

view is taken against them by dismissing the instant appeal and treating

the sentence to one as already undergone.

- 4. I have heard the learned counsel for the appellant, learned D.P.G for the State and have gone through the record.
- 5. It appears that this criminal appeal was presented on 29.07.2024 and is still pending before this court. The appellant has remained in jail and learnt the lesson as he has undergone for his sentence and is being dragged since 2022 in the instant crime. Further learned counsel for the appellant submits that he is not pressing the appeal on merits but would be satisfied if the sentence awarded to the appellant may be reduced to one he had already undergone. Such proposal is not opposed by the learned Deputy P.G Sindh. Consequently, while taking a lenient view, the instant criminal appeal is **dismissed** alongwith pending application but with modification that the sentence is reduced to one as already undergone including fine. The office is directed to issue release writ of the appellant with direction to concerned Jail Superintendent to release the appellant forthwith if he is not required in any other custody case.

JUDGE

Faisal