

*Order Sheet*  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI  
**IInd Appeal No. 287 of 2025**

Date	Order with Signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

Fresh case

- 1.For order on CMA No.6584/25 (urgent)
- 2.For order on CMA No.6585/25 (149)
- 3.For order on office objection a/w reply at A
- 4.For order on CMA No.6586/25 (exemption)
- 5.For hearing of main case
- 6.For order on CMA No.6587/25 (stay)

**26.09.2025**

M/s. Arshad Tayebaly, Aitizaz Memon, Sameer Tayebaly, Ammar Suria  
& Ms. Maria Ismail, advocate for appellant

-----

1. Urgency is granted.
2. Court Fee should be paid within three days.
3. Office objection to be complied with within one week's time.
4. Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 5-6. The appellants have filed this appeal impugning the Order dated 17.09.2025 passed by learned XIIth Additional District Judge Karachi South (Page-53), whereby, the Orders dated 22.07.2025 (Page-73) and 07.08.2025 (Page-77) passed by learned 1<sup>st</sup> Senior Civil Judge Karachi South were set aside. Counsel for the appellants contends that by way of said Interim Orders, the respondent No.1 was restrained from directly or indirectly making, circulating, publishing, or otherwise disseminating through any medium including print or electronic media such as newspapers, the internet, emails, messaging platforms, social media, press statements, or verbal communications, any statements that are disparaging, harassing, injurious, or defamatory to the plaintiffs, (Page-75). Counsel alleges that the respondent No.1 immediately, once again, allegedly violated the said impugned Order passed by the trial Court the moment he obtained ad-interim Order from the XIIth Additional Judge Karachi South by uploading/posting certain information on X (formerly known as Twitter)(Page-897). Counsel contends that trial

proceedings are at ad-interim and preliminary stages; therefore, only a tentative assessment is required, and deeper consideration prejudices the appellants. He contends that it would be fair to preserve the status-quo during the pendency of the hearing of the interlocutory applications. He relies on 2010 YLR (Karachi) 2426, 2024 MLD (Lahore-Rawalpindi Bench) 32 and 2023 SCMR 501. He craves that the said two ad-interim orders passed by the trial Court should be sustained, and once again, the respondent No.1 should be restrained in terms of the trial Court's two interim Orders dated 22.07.2025 and 07.08.2025.

Submissions made by learned counsel merit consideration. Issue notices to the respondents through all modes, including electronic modes, except publication. Meanwhile, the operation of the impugned order dated 17.09.2025 passed by learned XIIth Additional District Judge Karachi South is temporarily suspended till the next hearing date and the ad-interim Orders dated 22.07.2025 and 07.08.2025 passed by learned 1<sup>st</sup> Senior Civil Judge Karachi South, are temporarily restored with ad-interim directions of this bench to respondent no.1 to adhere to the said two interim orders until the final decision of the trial Court concerning the injunction application under Order 39 Rules 1&2 CPC. It is clarified that the hearing of the application for contempt will remain temporarily suspended until the outcome of the above injunction application till the next hearing date in this lis. The trial Court is directed to proceed with the hearing of the injunction application and decide the same at the earliest.

To come up on 10.10.2025 at 9.00 a.m.

J U D G E

Ashraf