ORDER SHEETIN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Constitution Petition No. D – 4331 of 2025

\mathbf{D} ATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGES

Priority

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For hearing of CMA No.17884/2025.
- 3. For hearing of main case.

18.09.2025

Malik Naeem Iqbal, Advocate for the Petitioner. Mr. Salman Qaiser, Advocate for respondents 1 and 2. Rana Sakhawat Ali, Advocate for respondent No.3. Ms. Mehreen Ibrahim, D.A.G.

The case of the petitioner is that its goods were stored in a warehouse that was leased by the respondent No.1 (KPT) to the respondent No.3; that pursuant to legal proceedings between the said respondents, the respondent No.1 has taken back possession of the warehouse but is refusing to allow the petitioner to remove its goods from said warehouse.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 (KPT) submits that there are no documents to demonstrate as goods in the warehouse are of the petitioner and therefore the respondent No.1 goes into believe that the goods are of the respondent No.3 who owes the respondent No.1 (KPT) outstanding lease handles. Learned counsel for the respondent No.3 submits that the goods are in fact of the petitioner. The petitioner has also filed GDs along with the petition to demonstrate the same. That being so, we do not see any dispute involved in the case therefore the petition is allowed in the terms that the petitioner shall provide copies of the GDs to the respondent No.1 (KPT) and upon verifying the same the respondent No.1 (KPT) shall release the goods lying in the subject warehouse to the petitioner forthwith.

The petition along with listed application is disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE