

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH**  
**CIRCUIT COURT MIRPURKHAS**

**Criminal Revision Application No.S-08 of 2024**

Applicant: Pehlaj s/o Herchand (Called absent).  
Throug Mr. Moinuddin Qureshi, Advocate  
(Called absent).

Respondent: The State through Mr. Neel  
Parkash, Deputy Prosecutor  
General, Sindh.

Date of hearing: **24.09.2025**  
Date of Order: **24.09.2025**

**O R D E R.**

**AMJAD ALI SAHITO, J:-** Through this Criminal Revision Application, the applicant/accused Pehlaj has challenged the judgment dated 01.07.2017, passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Tharparkar at Mithi, in Criminal Appeal No. 07/2015 (Re: The State v. Pehlaj), whereby the appeal was dismissed. The said appeal had been filed against the judgment dated 05.05.2015, passed by the learned Civil Judge and Judicial Magistrate-II, Mithi, whereby the applicant was convicted and sentenced to undergo three years' simple imprisonment and to pay a fine of Rs. 30,000/-. In case of default in payment of fine amount, he shall suffer simple imprisonment for six months more. The applicant/accused was also extended the benefit of section 382-B Cr.P.C (in Crime No. 71/2013, under Sections 377 and 34 PPC, registered at Police Station Diplo). Being aggrieved, the applicant has approached this Court seeking setting aside of the impugned judgments passed by the courts below.

Today the applicant and his counsel are called and no intimation has been received.

From the perusal of record, it appears that the applicant/accused Pehlaj remained absent for several dates, and Non-Bailable Warrants (NBWs) were issued against him. In

pursuance thereof, the SHO, Police Station Diplo, appeared and stated that despite repeated efforts, the applicant/accused could not be located, as he has absconded and is reported to have shifted to an unknown location.

In view of above, instant Criminal Revision Application is hereby dismissed. Let fresh Non-Bailable Warrants be issued against applicant/accused Pehlaj, with directions to the Senior Superintendent of Police (SSP), Tharparkar at Mithi, to effect his arrest and hand over his custody to the concerned jail authorities for serving out his sentence. However, it is clarified that upon his arrest, the applicant/accused shall be at liberty to file an application for hearing of the instant Revision Application and same shall be decided on its own merit. Accordingly, the instant Criminal Revision Application stands disposed of in the above terms. It is worth noting that notice was issued to the surety of the applicant Pehlaj; however, he failed to appear before this Court. Furthermore, the surety has also failed to produce the applicant before this Court on each and every date of hearing. Accordingly, the surety bond furnished by him is hereby forfeited.

**JUDGE**

*Adnan Ashraf Nizamani*