ORDER SHEET

THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA

C. P. No. S-395 of 2025

Date

Orders with signature of Judge

- 1. For orders on office objection flag 'A'.
- 2. For hearing of main case.

03-11-2025

Mr. Abdul Waheed Khushik, Advocate a/w petitioners.

Mr. Aftab Nek Muhammad Bhutto, Assistant A.G a/w Inspector Muhammad Ibrahim Brohi, SHO, PS Hyderi and SIP Ali Dost on behalf of SSP, Larkana.

Mr. Sardar Ali Solangi, D.P.G for the State.

Learned Assistant Advocate General files reports on behalf of respondents No.2 and 6, which are taken on record. Copies thereof have been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioners. Mr. Arif Ali Bhatti, Advocate, files Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.9 and 19, which is taken on record. Mr. Muzafar Ali Wadhio, Advocate, also files Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.10 and 13 along with comments, which are taken on record, and a copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has contracted a free-will marriage with petitioner No.2 after her ex-husband, respondent No.9, divorced her. He contends that the said ex-husband along with other private respondents have been causing unlawful harassment to the petitioners and extending threats of dire consequences.

Conversely, learned advocates appearing on behalf of respondents No.9, 10, 13, and 19 submit that petitioner No.1 is still the legally wedded wife of respondent No.9 and that the alleged divorce deed is fabricated and managed. They further submit that the petitioners had earlier filed a harassment petition before the learned Justice of Peace, Shaheed Benazirabad, which was disposed of with directions to the SSP, Shaheed Benazirabad, to provide protection to the petitioners, while the concerned officials were set at liberty to take legal action against the petitioners if found involved in any illegal activity or criminal case. They categorically deny having caused any harassment to the petitioners and state that they have no intention to do so in future, but shall act strictly in accordance with law.

Learned Assistant Advocate General submits that the official respondents are duty-bound to act strictly in accordance with law and shall ensure protection to the petitioners, if and when required.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusal of the reports and comments submitted on behalf of the official and private respondents, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks disposal of this petition with directions for protection of the petitioners in accordance with law.

In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of with directions to the official as well as private respondents to act strictly in accordance with law; they shall ensure that no harassment is caused to the petitioners; and the official respondents shall provide protection to the petitioners as and when required, strictly in accordance with law. As regards the plea of respondent No.9 concerning the alleged fake divorce deed, he shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy before the competent forum having jurisdiction, in accordance with law, if so advised.

Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the learned Additional Advocate General, Sindh, as well as to the official respondents concerned, for information and necessary compliance.

Judge

Irshad Ali M/Steno