

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD.**

Criminal Bail Application No.S-1087 of 2025

Applicant : Aneel Hussain @ Aneel s/o Ghulam Hussain (on bail)
through Mr. Shahzad Ahmed Mallah, Advocate.

Complainant : Atta Muhammad s/o Lakho through Mr. Jehanzaib @
Jansher Memon, Advocate.

State : The State through Miss Safa Hisbani, A.P.G.
alongwith IO / SIP Ahmed Ali PS Shaikh Bhirkio.

Date of hearing : **30.10.2025**

Date of Order : **30.10.2025**

O R D E R

JAWAD AKBAR SARWANA J:- Through instant Bail Application, applicant named above seeks pre-arrest bail in Crime No.144 of 2025 registered under Sections 324, 504, 34 PPC at Police Station B-Section Tando Muhammad Khan, after his bail plea was declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Tando Muhammad Khan vide order dated 29.08.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the F.I.R. are already available in the bail application and F.I.R., same could be gathered from the copy of F.I.R. attached with such application, hence needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. At the outset, complainant Atta Muhammad (CNIC No.41308-6857943-1) and injured Haneef Veesrio (CNIC No.41601-0630284-7) are present in Court alongwith their counsel and state that due to intervention of their Neks-Mards of locality, they have compromised with the applicant Aneel Hussain @ Aneel to the extent of the pre-trial bail and have filed their No Objection affidavits which are taken on record.

4. On the other hand, learned A.P.G opposed the bail on the ground that specific role has been attributed to applicant / accused and injured sustained firearm injury on the right leg and the grant of pre-arrest bail u/s 498 Cr.P.C is an extra ordinary relief therefore, applicant's interim bail may not be confirmed. She relies on Amjad Hussain v. The State (2004 P.Cr.L.J 550).

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and A.P.G. The offence with which the applicant is charged is compoundable. Per both the counsel there is an old enmity between the parties and in order to bury their hatchets and due to

intervention of their Nekkards, the complainant and the injured have submitted their No Objection for confirmation of interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicant. Accordingly, the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicant vide order dated 12.09.2025 is hereby confirmed on same terms and conditions. The applicant / accused is however directed to attend the trial. In case of his failure, the trial Court would be at liberty to take action in accordance with law.

JUDGE

Tufail