

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD
C.P. No.D-1065 of 2022

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

For orders on office objection
For hearing of main case

30.09.2025

Petitioner present in person
Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri AAG Sindh

Petitioner present in person files statement along with monthly salary slip for the month of October 2023, taken on record, and states that since her grievance stands redressed, she does not press instant Petition.

Accordingly, the Petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD
C.P. No.D-994 of 2021

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
<u>30.09.2025</u>	

Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri AAG Sindh

None present for Petitioner. Adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ali Haider

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD
C.P. No.D-1032 of 2023

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

For hearing of M.A. No. 6050/2024

30.09.2025

Petitioner present in person

Secretary, Market Committee, Hyderabad Waseem Ahmed Khaskheli
present in person

Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri AAG Sindh

Today, Waseem Ahmed Khaskheli, Secretary, Market Committee Hyderabad files an undertaking in shape of statement that the Petitioner shall be paid regular monthly pension in every month and after clearing entire commutation amount, outstanding amount shown in the said statement/undertaking in respect of monthly pension shall be cleared, and; that the remaining and outstanding amount will be cleared in March 2026. Such undertaking is taken on record, a copy whereof has been supplied to the Petitioner who is present in person and after going through the same shows his satisfaction and does not press listed contempt application any further, which is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD
C.P. No.D-991 of 2021

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

For orders on office objection
For orders on M.A. 4659/2021
For hearing of main case

30.09.2025

Mir Murtaza Abro, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri AAG Sindh

Learned Counsel for the Petitioner states that this Petition has wrongly been filed before this Court, as such; he under the instructions withdraws the same further stating that the Petitioner would avail the appropriate remedy before the fora provided.

In view of above, the Petition stands disposed of as withdrawn along with listed application.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD
C.P. No.D-177 of 2021

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

30.09.2025

Petitioner present in person.

Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri AAG Sindh along with Abdul Waheed Nizamani, Superintending Engineer, RBOD-II Project Jamshoro

Learned AAG seeks time to file comments of Respondents. Time is allowed. Let the matter now be fixed on 21.10.2025.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ali Haider

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.D-1421 of 2021

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

30.09.2025

Mr. Jalil Ahmed Memon Advocate holding brief for Mr. Imtiaz Ali
Soomro, Advocate for Petitioner

Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri AAG Sindh

Learned AAG seeks time to file comments of Respondents No.1 & 2.
Time is allowed. None present for Respondents No.3 & 4. Let notice be
repeated to Respondents No.3 & 4, who shall be in attendance on the next
date. To come up on **21.10.2025.**

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ali Haider

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.D-1064 of 2021

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection
2. For hearing of main case

30.09.2025

Mr. Muhammad Sulleman Unar, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri AAG Sindh

Petitioner's Counsel states that the Petitioner was promoted from BPS-1 to BPS-11 in the year 2020. That aspect of the matter was however confronted and the learned AAG categorically states that as per the fresh comments filed by Respondent No.3, such promotion was illegally done and the same was withdrawn/cancelled vide Office Letter dated 05.04.2025, it has been filed along with the fresh comments. Since it is a fresh cause of action, the Counsel for Petitioner agrees to withdraw the Petition and avail his remedies according to law.

In view of above, the Petition stands disposed of as withdrawn.

JUDGE

JUDGE

