

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.S-312 of 2024

---

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

---

For orders on urgent application  
For hearing of stay application  
For hearing of main case

**30.01.2025**

Mr. Fayaz Ali advocate holds brief for Mr. Ali Asghar Tewino Advocate for Petitioner.

M/s Khalid Hussain and Saad Ali on behalf of Respondent.

---.

Mr. Fayaz Ali advocate holds brief for Mr. Ali Asghar Tewino Advocate for Petitioner on the ground that he is indisposed. Learned Counsel for the Respondent points out that the interim Order passed earlier is being misused and requests for vacating interim Order since learned counsel for the Petitioner is not proceeding with the case. The instant Petition pertains to an Order passed u/s 16(2) of the Sindh Rented Premises Ordinance 1979 whereby on one hand the appellant has claimed execution of sale agreement and payment of substantial amounts to Respondent and based whereon filing of F.C. Suit No.1275 of 2022 which is sub judice before the Senior Civil Judge No.II Hyderabad. On the other hand, the Order u/s 16(2) was passed based upon delay of 8 days and 15 days respectively in payment of rent for 2 months in compliance of the Order passed u/s 16(1) Sindh Rented Premises Ordinance 1979.

Mr. Fayaz Ali learned counsel requests for final chance and undertakes that if on the next date of hearing Mr. Ali Asghar Tewino is not available, he will personally proceeding with the case.

Adjourned to 26.02.2025 at 11:30 a.m.

J U D G E



ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

Criminal Revision Application No.S-149 of 2024

---

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

---

For orders on urgent application  
For orders on office objection.  
For orders on exemption application  
For hearing of main case

**30.01.2025**

1. Mr. Leela Ram Advocate holds brief on behalf of Mr. Shankar Lal Meghwar Advocate for the Applicant and points out to M.A. No.12411/2024 and pleads urgency on the ground that the applicant is suffering from extreme medical condition and unable to walk, whereas the learned Ex-Officio Justice of Peace has passed the Order without considering material facts and his CNIC was blocked, which according to him does not find any backing from law and also infringes upon the fundamental rights of the Applicant. He further states that without considering the mandatory provision of Section 18 of the NADRA Ordinance, the impugned Order was passed and also referred to a recent Order passed by the Supreme Court, wherein blocking of CNIC was deprecated and requests for urgent relief and undertakes to file copy of the said Order. Urgency disposed of.

2. Deferred for the time being.

3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.

4. Points raised by the learned counsel require consideration. Notice to Respondents as well as APG for 27.02.2025. In the meanwhile, operation of the impugned Order is suspended.

J U D G E

Ali Haider