

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA

Criminal Bail Appln. No. S-81 of 2025

Criminal Bail Appln. No. S-82 of 2025

Applicant,	:	Iqbal Ahmed @ Iqbal @ Iqloo, Through Mr. Abdul Rehman A. Bhutto, advocate
Complainant	:	Arslan Khan Pathan, Through Mr. Gul Muhammad Pathan, advocate
The State	:	Through Mr. Aitbar Ali Bullo, D.P.G for the State
Date of hearing	:	14-05-2025
Date of order	:	14-05-2025

ORDER

AMJAD ALI SAHITO, J.- Through this single order, I dispose of captioned two bail applications [filed](#) by applicant Iqbal Ahmed @ Idqbal @ Iqloo, one is arising out of Crime 18/2024, offence U/s 324, 337-H(ii), 114, 34 P.P.C and another bail application is arising out of Crime No. 31/2024, offence U/S 23(i)(a), Sindh Arms, Act, registered at Police Station Sultankot, whereby his bail pleas were declined by the learned I-Additional Sessions Judge, Shikarpur vide two separate orders dated 21.11.2024.

2. The details and particulars of the FIR are already available in the bail application and FIR, same could be gathered from the copy of FIR attached with such application, hence, needs not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant/accused submits that he is innocent and has falsely been implicated in these cases; that F.I.R is delayed about one month for which no plausible explanation has been furnished; that the story narrated by the complainant is unbelievable. Per him that applicant has fired from his pistol, which hit on his back side of head which is not possible as the injured was in front of the applicant, further more the applicant is in jail and is no more required. He has lastly prayed for grant of post-arrest bail to the applicant/accused.

4. On the other hand, learned D.P.G. as well as learned counsel for the complainant have opposed for grant of bail and submit that applicant/accused is not entitled for grant of post-arrest bail.

5. Heard, perused.

6. Admitted the F.I.R is delayed about one month, for which no plausible explanation has been furnished. Furthermore the role assigned to the applicant is that he made straight fire upon the injured complainant Arslan, which hit on his back side of the head. The injury declared by the doctor is 337-A(ii), P.P.C, which is maximum punishable upto five years. Furthermore the applicant/accused is in jail and his further detention will not improve the case of prosecution. Learned counsel for the applicant has also pleaded malafide and enmity between the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the applicant/accused has made out a case for grant of post-arrest bail in view of sub-section (2) of section 497 Cr.P.C, resultantly the instant bail application No. S-81/2025 is allowed and the applicant/accused is admitted to post-arrest bail subject to his furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court.

8. Since in the main case bearing bail application No. S-81/2025 arising out of Crime No. 18/2024 of P.S. Sultankot, the applicant has been admitted on post-arrest bail, instant criminal bail application bearing No. S-82/2025 arising out of Crime No. 31/2024 is also allowed and the applicant/accused is also admitted to post-arrest bail in this case subject to his furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court.

9. Needless to mention here that the observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not influence the learned trial court while deciding the case of either party at trial.

J U D G E

Abdul Salam/P.A