

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Crl. Appeal No.S-72 of 2025

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
-----------------	-------------------------------

- 1. For hearing of M.A.No.4047/2025 (345(2) Cr.PC).
- 2. For hearing of M.A. No.4048/2025 (345(6) Cr.PC).
- 3. For hearing of M.A.No.3573/2025 (426 Cr.PC)
- 4. For hearing of main case.

18.08.2025

Mr. Daman Ali Laghari, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. Amjad Hussain Laghari, Advocate for complainant.
Mr. Muhammad Raza Katohar, Deputy Prosecutor General.

It is alleged that the appellants, in furtherance of their common intention caused fire shot injuries, lathy and hatchets blows to complainant, PW Ghulam Sarwar, Pw Irshad Ali and PW Ghulam Sartaj with intention to commit their murder, for that they were booked and reported upon.

- 2. On conclusion of trial, they were found guilty for the aforesaid offence and were convicted and sentenced to various terms by learned trial Court vide judgment dated 03.07.2025, which is impugned by the appellants before this Court by preferring an appeal.
- 3. During course of hearing of instant Criminal Appeal, the parties compounded the offence and to materialize such compromise they filed such applications before this Court.
- 4. The complainant, PWs and appellants are in jail in counter cases, they have pardoned each other in the name of Almighty Allah at the intervention of their nekmards and have waived their right of Arsh and Daman against them. Such facts have also been support from their affidavits which they have filed along with compromise applications.
- 5. It is contended by the learned counsel for the appellants that since they have been pardoned by the complainant party therefore,

they are liable to their acquittal, which is not opposed by learned D.P.G for the State.

6. I have considered the above arguments and perused the record.

7. The main offence i.e 324, 337A(i), 337F(i), 337F(ii), 337F(iii), 337F(V), 337L(ii), 337H(ii) PPC are compoundable. The parties have entered into compromise voluntarily, on intervention of their nekmards, which appears to be true. In these circumstances, the compromise arrived at between the parties is accepted. Consequently, the appellants are acquitted of the offence, for which they have been charged, tried and convicted by learned trial court, they are in custody and shall be released forthwith if not required in any other custody case.

8. The instant appeal together with the listed application[s] are disposed of accordingly.

J U D G E

S.Nawaz(St)'

