

Order Sheet
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
CP No.S-544 of 2025

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

D/o matter.

- 1. For orders on CMA No. 5268/2025.
- 2. For orders on CMA No. 5153/2025.

08.08.2025.

Petitioner Prof. Mrs. Urooj Qadri, present in person.
Syed Aly-e-Maqbool Rizvi, Addl: Advocate General Sindh.

- 1. Urgent application is disposed of.
- 2. Through listed application [CMA No.5153/2025] the petitioner seeks immediate medical treatment of her husband namely; Masood Ahmad Ansari in Agha Khan Hospital.

From perusal of the record, it appears that the present petition was filed by the petitioner seeking admission of her husband in hospital for his medical treatment and on 10.07.2025 the petition was disposed of by declining the prayer made therein with the following observations:

“ The request of the petitioner for admitting Masood Ahmed Ansari in hospital does not appear to be reasonable and since the petitioner is also issueless and having no children, and Masood Ansari needs tender care at this stage, which cannot be provided by the petitioner, therefore, it will be appropriate that his custody remains with his children, however, respondents No.2 to 4 shall ensure that whenever the petitioner visits Masood Ahmed Ansari, they arrange the meeting respectfully without causing any hindrance and in case there is any complaint that the respondents have caused any sort of harassment or hindrance in the meeting, this shall be treated as contempt of court's orders and appropriate proceedings shall be initiated against the respondents No.2 to 5.

With these observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Record further reflects that the petitioner after disposal of the petition filed applications bearing CMA Nos. 4848/2025 and 4940/2025 citing serious concerns about health condition of her husband sought directions for his treatment. This Court after hearing the parties, vide order dated 28.07.2025 dismissed the aforesaid applications with the observation that the petitioner to remain careful in

future in filing such type of frivolous applications. Relevant portion of the order is being reproduced hereunder:-

“Today, Ms. Asima Ansari, daughter of Masood Ahmed Ansari is present along with other family members and she has placed on record copy of medical opinion dated 23.07.2025 issued by Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, wherein the consultant doctor has reassessed the health condition of Masood Ahmed Ansari and opined that he is well oriented and in good health condition and needs regular checkup and follow up and his laboratory examinations were also found within the normal ranges.

The petitioner is still insisting for the admission of Masood Ahmed Ansari in hospital. The petitioner is an old age lady, her petition was entertained out of sympathy as she pressed that her husband was lying seriously ill in the house of respondents and per her contention he was not provided proper treatment and care.

The said Masood Ahmed Ansari was brought in Court on 10.07.2025 by his children and his health condition appeared to be normal, weakness though observed but was natural due to his advanced age of 86 years. The claim of the petitioner that he may be admitted in the hospital under the given circumstances cannot be granted because this Court is not having any medical expertise, it is for the doctors to determine for which the daughter of the Masood Ahmed Ansari has placed on record copy of certificate from the Aga Khan University Hospital which clearly shows that Mr. Masood Ahmed Ansari is getting regular checkups and follow ups.

In the given circumstances, CMA Nos.4940/2025 and 4848/2025 being without merits are dismissed. The petitioner is directed to remain careful and in case she files such frivolous applications, appropriate orders shall be passed, however, the visiting arrangement as ordered in the order dated 10.07.2025 shall remain in force.

Both listed applications stands disposed of in the above terms.”

In view of the above, the present application, being similar in nature to the earlier ones already decided/rejected by this Court, is not maintainable. The petitioner has also failed to provide any plausible justification for filing the present application. Accordingly, instant application is dismissed as misconceived and frivolous. This Court, while taking a lenient view, is not imposing costs at this stage; however, the petitioner is cautioned that if she again files an application of a similar nature, heavy costs shall be imposed upon her.

JUDGE