

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
MIRPURKHAS**

Criminal Revision Application No.S-114 of 2024

Applicant: Yar Muhammad @ Yaroo son of Ghulam Muhammad,
Through Mr. Aziz Ahmed Laghari, Advocate.

Respondent: The State.
Through Mr. Dhani Bakhsh Mari, Assistant P.G.

Date of Order: 19.08.2025.

ORDER

Amjad Ali Sahito, J: Through this Criminal Revision Application, the applicant Yar Muhammad @ Yaroo has challenged the judgment dated 26.04.2024 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Khipro in Criminal Appeal No.04/2024, arising out of Crime No.168/2023 for offence under section 3/4 PEHO of PS Khipro whereby dismissed the appeal and judgment dated 27.03.2024 passed in Crl. Case No.232/2023 by Civil Judge and Judicial Magistrate, Khipro whereby convicted the applicant and sentenced to suffer R.I for 02 years with fine of Rs.10,000/- was maintained.

2. The learned counsel after arguing at some length; prayed that he would not like to argue on merits but would be satisfied if the sentence given to the applicant was reduced to the time he had already remained in prison and further the applicant is ready to deposit the amount as passed in the judgment.

3. On the other hand, learned Assistant Prosecutor General Sindh concedes that the applicant has remained behind the bars for sufficient period and learnt the lesson, therefore, he has no objection if a lenient view

is taken against him by dismissing the instant criminal revision application and treating the sentence to one as already undergone.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.P.G for the State and have gone through the record.

5. It appears that this criminal revision application was presented on 06.05.2024 and is still pending before this court. The applicant has remained in jail and learnt the lesson as he has undergone for his sentence and is being dragged since 2024 in the instant crime. Further learned counsel for the applicant submits that he is not pressing the criminal revision application on merits but would be satisfied if the sentence given to the applicant was reduced to the time he had already remained in prison. He further submits that in the terms of the impugned judgment, the applicant has deposited the amount of Rs.10,000/- with the accountant of this court as passed in the judgment mentioned above and he has also produced receipt by which the amount was deposited with the Accountant of this Court. Copy received from the learned counsel is taken on record. Learned A.P.G has also raised his no objection. Consequently, while taking a lenient view, the instant criminal revision application is dismissed but with modification that the sentence is reduced to one as already undergone. The applicant is present on bail, his bail bond stands cancelled and surety discharged. Office is directed to return the surety papers after proper verification and identification.

6. The instant Criminal Revision Application is **dismissed** with above modification.

JUDGE