ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

C.P No.S-547 of 2025

.-.-.-.-.-.

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S).

Hearing of case

- 1. For orders on office objection No.1 to 5 as at "A".
- 2. For hearing of main case.

Dated 21.07.2025

Petitioner Abdul Rehman present in person.

Mr. Ali Haider Saleem, Additional Prosecutor General Sindh.

Mr. K.A. Vaswani, Assistant Advocate General.

Mr. Raza Mian, DSP Legal-II, CPO, Karachi.

SIP Subhan Ali Shah, P.S North Nazimabad.

SIP Haji Liaquat, P.S Boat Basin.

.-.-.-.-.-.

Through instant petition the petitioner has claimed the following relief:-

- (a) To Direct the respondent No.2 to restrain the respondent No.4 for causing harassment on the instigation of respondent No.6 furthermore, the respondent No.2 & 4 may kindly be directed to restrain the private respondent No.6 for causing harassment, mental torture and extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioner and to provide legal protection to the petitioner.
- (b) To Direct the respondent No.3 to restrain the respondent No.5 for causing harassment on the instigation of respondent No.6 furthermore the respondent No.2 & 4 may kindly be directed to restrain the private respondent No.6 for causing harassment, mental torture and extending threat of dire consequences to the petitioner.
- (c) That, this Honorable court is empowered to call in person to the respondent No.6 and to restrain the respondent No.6 from causing harassment, mental torture and extending threats of dire consequences.

It is the case of the petitioner that he was married with one Farhat Bibi and out of the said wedlock, he is father of one son who is in the custody of respondent No.6. The petitioner filed a suit for the custody of the minor before the Guardian and Wards Court and per his contention that due to filing of that suit, the respondent No.6, who is employed in Pakistan Rangers, is causing harassment through the officers of the police, in particular, respondents No.4 and 5.

The respondent No.2, SSP Complaint Cell, Karachi South has filed reply wherein he has submitted that there is a dispute between the parties over the custody of the minor and he has not caused any harassment to the petitioner. Respondent No.4, SHO, P.S Boat Basin and Respondent No.5, SHO, P.S North Nazimabad have filed their replies wherein they have stated that the police has not harassed the petitioner and dispute between the parties is of a civil nature. The same stand has been taken by the respondent No.3, SSP, Complaint Cell, Central Karachi.

Mr. Manzar Abbas Larik, Advocate has filed power on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Abid Hussain Junejo, Advocate files power on behalf of respondent No.6, which are taken on record.

Respondent No.6 is present in Court and submits that he was married with Farhat Bibi on 06.06.2023 and minor Muhammad Aryan was born on 25.04.2024 out of the wedlock between the respondent No.6 and Mst. Farhat Bibi.

Scanning of the material available on record reflects that Mst. Farhat Bibi, who has passed away on 30.03.2025, had filed a suit for dissolution of marriage before the Court of 2nd Family Judge, Malir, Karachi against the petitioner and such marriage was dissolved by way of *Khula* through exparte judgment on 04.10.2023. Though the marriage of respondent No.6 with Mst. Farhat Bibi, the ex-wife of the petitioner, is still a question mark as to how the respondent No.6 had married during persistence of the first marriage of Mst. Farhat Bibi but this Court will not indulge into such disputed facts for which the parties have appropriate forum to seek relief, if so desired.

Respondent No.6 present in Court claims that he is the real father of minor Muhammad Aryan. The petitioner claims that he is the biological father of said Muhammad Aryan for which he has already filed a suit before the Family Court seeking determination of the parentage of the minor wherein he has also filed an application to conduct DNA of the minor. The parties are at issue for the custody of minor Muhammad Aryan and this Court is not seized with any matter regarding custody or the determination of the parentage of the minor. The petitioner has only sought a relief for providing protection and restraining the respondents from causing any harassment to

him. It is the duty of the police to provide protection to the individuals in accordance with law as and when required.

The instant petition stands disposed of with directions to the SHO, P.S Boat Basin and SHO, P.S North Nazimabad to conduct themselves strictly in accordance with law. The parties may avail the remedy seeking custody of the minor before the competent forum, if so advised.

The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

Ayaz Gul