

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR**  
**Cr. Misc. Application No.S-324 of 2025**

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
-----------------	-------------------------------

**Hearing of case**  
1. For orders on office objection  
2. For hearing of main case

**17.06.2025**  
  
Syed Zafar Ali Shah, Advocate for applicant  
Mr. Mansoor Ahmed, Deputy Prosecutor General

.....

Through the instant Criminal Miscellaneous Application, the applicant has challenged the order dated 12.05.2025, passed by the learned 2<sup>nd</sup> Additional Sessions Judge, Sukkur, in Criminal Miscellaneous Application No. 1405/2025, whereby the applicant's request for recording his statement under Section 154 Cr.P.C. was declined.

2. Perusal of the record, particularly paragraphs 4 and 5 of the aforementioned application, reveals that the applicant had earlier filed Criminal Miscellaneous Applications No. 3748/2024, 3248/2024, and 1134/2024, which were allowed, and directions were issued to the official respondents to provide legal protection to him. However, while the applicant alleges non-compliance with these directions, he has failed to narrate any specific incident or occurrence demonstrating that the protection orders were violated by the said respondents. In the absence of any such concrete instance, the applicant has again approached the official respondents and has now filed the present application, seeking directions for the registration of an FIR and further protection.

3. Furthermore, as per the report of the DSP Complaint Cell available on record (page 15), it is stated in paragraph 3 that during the course of the inquiry, the applicant himself admitted that an amount of Rs. 1,000,000/- was outstanding against him under a private settlement. However, he refused to proceed with the inquiry and left without recording

his statement. It appears that the present application has been filed as a consequence of this grievance.

4. It is pertinent to note that the orders passed by the learned Ex-Officio Justice of Peace on the earlier applications filed by the applicant are still in the field. There is nothing on record indicating the occurrence of any subsequent incident disclosing the commission of a cognizable offence that would warrant the recording of the applicant's statement under Section 154 Cr.P.C.

5. In view of the foregoing, no illegality or irregularity is found in the impugned order dated 12.05.2025, so as to justify interference by this Court. Accordingly, the instant application, being devoid of merit, is dismissed in ***limine***.

JUDGE

Naveed Ali