ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI C.P.No.D-3719 of 2016

Data With signature of Judge

Date Order .with signature of Judge

Present:-Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro Mr. Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon

MRS. SHAHILA PARVEEN

PETITIONER

VERSUS

FEDERATION OF PAKISTAN &

OTHERS

RESPONDENTS

Mrs. Shahila Parveen, Petitioner in person Mr. Khalid Mehmood Siddiqui, Advocate for PIAC

Date of hearing : **24.04.2017**Date of Judgment : **05.05.2017**

JUDGEMENT

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO, J. Petitioner claims to be employee of Pakistan International Airline (PIAC), and working as 'Air-hostess'. She through this petition has prayed for the following reliefs:-

- "1) Terminate the Service of Respondent 5 to 9 as they are not fit for working in PIAC.
- 2) Direct the respondent# 1 to 4 to take legal & strict action against the respondent # 5 to 9.
- 3) Direct the respondent # 1 & 2 to initiate Departmental inquiry through NAB or Anti-Corruption.
- 4) Grant another relief that this High Court deem fit and proper in the Circumstances of the case.
- 5) Grant Costs."
- 2. In brief, petitioner's case is that respondent Nos.5 to 9 have caused harassment to her; that respondent No.5/Dr. Ayaz Khaskhali killed her baby during pregnancy as he intentionally did not provide ambulance to her in emergency, and when she made a complaint of it to the respondent No.6/Chief Medical Officer, he also caused her harassment. It is also alleged that after such complaint, respondent No.6/Chief Medical Officer stopped to provide medical facilities to her family and her parents and concealed the relevant medical books. The respondent No.6 was using her personal contacts in PIA Head Office and was making propaganda against her, causing her mental torture and harassment. Respondent No.7 namely Dr. Naheed Daudpota is Incharge of PIA Township, Medical Centre, and she also caused harassment to her and pressurized her

to withdraw from the case against Dr. Ayaz Khaskheli, respondent No.5. She also made her wait outside of her office for hours and caused her mental torture. She also refused to provide emergency treatment to her husband, who is "Diabetic" etc. patient. It is further alleged that respondent No.7 misbehaved with her husband and whenever her complaint was made to Chief Medical Officer / Respondent No.6, she caused harassment and pressurized her to withdraw her cases. The petitioner has also alleged that she has not been promoted as a result of victimization and on account of differences with the respondents,

3. We have heard the petitioner in person, and counsel for the PIA. Although the petitioner has filed this petition for writ of quo-warranto but she has mainly voiced her personal grievances against respondent Nos.5 to 9. Her entire case is of alleged harassment and victimization as well as mental torture. The allegations leveled against the respondents are factual in nature and appear to emanate from the personal grievances of the petitioner. The petitioner has not pointed out any material to even prima facie indicate some illegality in holding the office by the respondents to attract ingredients of writ of quo-warranto. We were also informed by learned Counsel for PIA during the arguments that for all the grievances, which she has voiced in this petition, the petitioner has already filed a suit, which is pending before the Single Judge of this Court. In these facts and circumstances, we do not find any merit in the instant petition and dismiss it accordingly along with pending application(s). However, before parting with this order, we would like to direct the respondents not to cause any harassment to the petitioner and they shall act in accordance with the law.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Rafiq/P.A.