

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR C.P.No. 130 V2012

Muhammad Moos Malik s/o Umed Ali Malik, Malik by caste, r/o Dr.Ayaz Colony, Mithayani

Versus

- Government of Sindh, through Secretary Home Department, Sindh Secretariat, Karachi
- Deputy Inspector General of Police, Sukkur Region, Sukkur
- Javed Ali Jaskani, Senior Superintendent of Police, District Naushero Feroze
- 4. DSP Abdul Quddoos Kalwar, at present r/o Pir Goth, District Khairpur
- SHO Shah Nawaz Naich, Police Station Kot Mitha, District Naushero Feroze
- Station House Officer Police Station Mithyani
- 7. Ghulam Mustafa s/o Muhammad Talib Malik by caste, r/o Mithyani, Taluka and District Naushero Feroze
- 8. Azhar Ali s/o Haji Khan, Malik by caste, w/o Mithyani Taluka & District Naushero Feroze
- 9. Muhammad Ismail s/o Haji Khan, Malik by caste, r/o Mithyani, Taluka and District Naushero Feroze
- 10. Miskeen Shah s/o Syed by easte, r/o Bhirya Road Taluka Kandyaro, District Naushero Feroze

- Saifal @ Saifoo s/o Alah Warayo, Panhwar by caste, r/o Nahat Goraho Taluka & District Naushero Feroze
- Rustam Ali s/o Allah Warayo,
 Panhwar by caste, r/o Nahat Goraho
 Taluka & District Naushero Feroze

CONSTITUTIONAL PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF AKISTAN, 1973

in be incl

ORDER SHEET

E HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

C.P.No.D 1309 of 2012.

For Katcha Peshi.

20.06.2012.

- Kes

2

Mr.A.R.Farooq Pirzada advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Imtiaz Ali Soomro AAG alongwith SHO

Police Station Pirjo Goth.

The grievance of the petitioner is that he is the owner of an agricultural land and at the behest of private respondents, the respondent No.3 and his sub-ordinates are going to compel the petitioner to withdraw from their land. However, he refused to do so, thereafter he is being harassed by the respondent No.3 and his sub-ordinates.

At very outset, the learned Assistant A.G on instructions, states that neither the petitioner will be harassed in any manner nor the police will intervene in the dispute between the petitioner and his rivals and they will act strictly in accordance with the law. He further states that the petitioner will be provided protection as and when he appears and makes such request.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is satisfied with the above statement. However, per learned counsel 200 bags of grain and other articles have been taken away by the official respondents alongwith private respondents and they are reluctant to restore the same.

4

ORDER SHEET

HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Be that as it may, this controversy can not be resolved through these proceedings. The petitioner may approach the concerned forum for the redressal of his grievance.

With the above observations this petition is disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Akber.