

ORDER SHEET

HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

C.P.No.D7395 of 2017

Date	Order with signature of Judge
1.	For orders on M.A No.30770/17 (U/A)
2.	For orders on M.A. No.30771/2017 (Ex/A)
3.	For orders on M.A. No.30772/2017 (Stay/A)
4.	For hearing of Main Case.

03.11.2017.

Mr. Farhan Zia Abrar, advocate for the petitioner.

>><<

1. Urgency is granted.
2. Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 3-4. Learned counsel states that the petitioner is a joint owner of property bearing No.6-A, J.M. Survey Sheet No.173, measuring about 1220 Sq.yards situated in Sindhi Muslim Cooperative Housing Society, Main Shahrah-e-Faisal, Karachi. Counsel states that the said property was converted from residential to commercial in the year 1987. He further states that in December 2016 the said property was sealed on account of unauthorized construction but subsequently was de-sealed. Counsel states that the respondents again are attempting to seal the said property without giving any notice or show cause notice in this behalf. Counsel states that he would be satisfied and would not press this petition if directions are issued to the respondents/SBCA to act in accordance with law. Mr. Anwar Ali Shah, advocate for SBCA is present in Court in some other matter. He has waived notice on behalf of all the respondents and states that he has no objection for disposal of this petition on the request made by the counsel for the petitioner. Petition stands disposed of as not pressed along with the listed application by giving directions to the respondents/SBCA to act as per law.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET

HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

C.P.No.D-7434 of 2017

Date	Order with signature of Judge
1.	For orders on M.A No.30942/17 (U/A)
2.	For orders on office objection No.19.
3.	For orders on M.A. No.30943/2017 (Ex/A)
4.	For orders on M.A. No.30944/2017
5.	For hearing of Main Case.

03.11.2017.

Mr. Dildar M. S. Shaikh, advocate for the petitioner.

>><<

1. Urgency is granted.
2. Overruled.
3. Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 4-5. In the instant petition, it has been prayed that the petitioners are entitled for “Sanads” after demarcation of the Village Abdullah Goth, hence the Mukhtiarkar may be directed to carry out such demarcation. At the very outset, the counsel was directed to point out whether any application in this behalf has been moved to the concerned Mukhtiarkar, to which he replied that though applications were moved to the concerned Mukhtiarkar but the same are not annexed with this petition. Since, according to the counsel, applications for demarcation have already been given to the Mukhtiarkar, in our view, the petitioners should pursue the same before the concerned Mukhtiarkar in accordance with law. The instant petition, thus, is found to be not maintainable; hence the same is dismissed in limine along with the listed application.

JUDGE

JUDGE