

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

R.A. No.56 of 2010

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

- 1. For hearing of main case
- 2. For hearing of CMA No.1249/2010

08.11.2016

In compliance of the order dated **27.10.2016** on account of non-payment of cost this revision application should have been treated as dismissed and should not have been listed even for today. However, Mr. Amir Virk who held brief for Mr. Jamil Ahmed Virk advocate on the last date of hearing and even on several dates prior to **27.10.2016** has stated that he does not want to press this revision application. When asked as to whether he is representing the Applicant or is holding brief for his senior, he says I am in the same company. He further says that I will file a formal application to this effect today, therefore, the case was adjourned to be taken up after tea break. It is almost 1:30 p.m., the learned counsel has not turned up. This revision application is dismissed for non-prosecution alongwith listed application.

JUDGE