

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI  
C.P. No. D-6935 of 2021  
[Sajjad Khan v. Province of Sindh and others]

C.P. No. D-6895 of 2021  
[Syed Atif-ur-Rehman v. SBCA and others]

Date	Order with signature of Judge(s)
------	----------------------------------

Before:  
Mr. Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon  
Mr. Justice Zulfiqar Ali Sangi

**Date of hearing and Order: 08.04.2026**

Mr. Daniyal Shaikh, advocate for the petitioner in C.P No.D-6935 and advocate for respondent No.4 in C.P No.D-6895 of 2021.  
Nemo for the petitioner in C.P No.D-6895 of 2021.  
Mr. Dhani Bux Lashari, Mr. Ghulam Akbar Lashari, Rafiq Bhambro, Ms. Humaira Jatoi and Ms. Rehana, advocates for SBCA.  
Mr. Abdul Jalil Zubedi, AAG.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ORDER**

**Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J.** – Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No. 5 has allegedly constructed a second floor along with a cage on the subject property, namely House No. H-84, Block-2, P.E.C.H.S., Karachi. He further states that respondent No. 5 has also filed C.P. No. 6895 of 2021, wherein an ad-interim order has been granted in his favour by this Court. However, learned counsel seeks the disposal of the C.P No.D-6935 in terms of the order dated 08.04.2026, passed in C.P. No. D-1073 of 2019.

2. At this juncture, learned counsel for SBCA submits that a site inspection was carried out by the field staff at Plot No. 84, Block-02, P.E.C.H.S., Karachi. he added that during inspection, it was observed that the premises were occupied and comprise a ground plus first-floor structure, along with two temporary rooms (Tear & Girder) constructed on the rooftop of the first floor. The said temporary structure appeared to have been erected approximately 15 years ago. However, in order to verify the approval status of the construction, a request has been forwarded to the Record Section of the SBCA. As of now, the relevant official record could not be traced. Be that as it may, this aspect of the case shall also be addressed by the competent authority of SBCA under its mechanism.

3. In view of the above, if any grievance of the parties still subsists, requiring adjudication by the competent authority of SBCA under the SOPs, the same exercise shall be undertaken within a period of two weeks after hearing all concerned, including the petitioner in 4 in C.P No.D-6895 of 2021/ respondent No.5, by speaking order.

4. The petitioners are directed to approach SBCA, which shall decide the matter by way of a speaking order in accordance with the applicable S.O.Ps.

5. Without touching the merits of the case, the captioned petitions, along with pending application(s), stands disposed of in the above terms. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the Head of the SBCA for compliance in time.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Shahzad Soomro